Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/625,585	KIRCH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Robert P. Swiatek.	(3)	
(2) Mr. S. Craig Hemenway.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 July 2005	Time: <u></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Possible 35 USC 103(a)		
Claims discussed: 2, 14		
Prior art documents discussed: Havener (US 3,341,909); Kondo (US 5,404,602)		•
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants' representative authorized amendment of claim 2 such that a leash is now recited; a change to claim 14 was authorized to overcome an informality.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		